

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 11 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No. 9368

Application of

Hornng Jaan Lin et al.

Serial No. 10/014,469

Group Art Unit: 3627

Filed: December 14, 2001

Examiner: Rudy, A.

For: PERSONAL DATA MINING ON THE WEB

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Sir:

I hereby certify that the following papers are being
facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the
date shown below to Fax No. (703) 872-9306.

Transmittal Sheet - 1 page

Election - 2 pages

July 11, 2005
Date

Karen A. Church
Printed name of person signing

Karen A. Church
Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No. 9368

Application of

Hong Jaan Lin et al.

Serial No. 10/014,469


Group Art Unit: 3627

Filed: December 14, 2001

Examiner: Rudy, A.

For: **PERSONAL DATA MINING ON THE WEB**

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is an Election.

 X No additional fee is required.

 X Please charge any additional fees to the account of NCR Corporation,
Deposit Account No. 14-0225.

Our telephone number is: (937) 445-2990.

Respectfully,

Paul W. Martin
Paul W. Martin
Reg. No. 34870
Attorney for: Lin et al.

JUL 11 2005

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 11 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 9368

Application of:

Hornig Jaan Lin et al.

Art Unit: 3627


Serial No.: 10/014,469

Examiner: A. Rudy

Filed: December 14, 2001

For: PERSONAL DATA MINING ON THE WEB

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

Sir:

This is a response to the Restriction Requirements
mailed February 23, 2005, and June 9, 2005.


Applicants elects to prosecute Invention I, claims 1-8,
with traverse, since the basis for restriction is improper.

The only basis for restriction provided by the Examiner
in the Office Action of February 23, 2005, was that the
"process can be performed by hand". Process claims 1-8

recite a computer implemented method, which means that they cannot be "performed by hand".

Applicants now respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Martin
Attorney for Applicants
(937) 445-2990

Dayton, OH

JUL 11 2005